)OE ORDER # 4700		#00056649
15RF0530	20	
DISTRIBUTION LT	PENC	I II
AMARAL, M E	工	SEGRG ROCKY FLATS
BURLINGAME A H	+-	Q-Dub Nocit i LAIS
SARNIVAL GJ	+-	EG&G ROCKY FLATS, INC
DAVIS J G	+-	ROCKY FLATS PLANT P O BOX 464 GOLDEN COLORADO 80402 0464 (303) 966 7000
FERERRA DW		2 2 3 10 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2
FRAY R E		
GEIS, J A GLOVER W S	 	lune 01 1005
GOLAN PM	+	June 21, 1995 95-RF-05300
HANNI BJ	+-	
HARMAN LK		
HEALY TJ HEDAHL T	 	Visit Missanahass
HILBIG J G	+-	Kurt Muenchow Envernmental Restoration Division
HUTCHINS N M	+-	Environmental Restoration Division
JACKSON DT		DOE, RFFO
KELL, R E		
KUESTER A W MARX G E	+-	
McDONALD M M	+-	JUNE 7, 1995, UPDATE ON OPERABLE UNIT SIX (OU6) ISSUES, CONDENSED MEETING
MCKENNA F G	 	MINUTES - NAH-019-95
MONTROSE JK		
MORGAN R V	ـــ	Action Forward meeting minutes to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Colorado
POTTER G L PIZZUTO V M	 	Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE)
RISING TL	 	
SANDLING N B		
SCHWARTZ JK	 	This letter transmits the proceedings from the meeting that was held on June 7, 1995 Please forward
SETLOCK GH	 	to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Colorado Department of Public Health and
STIGER S G	 	Environment (CDPHE)
TOBIN PM		
VOORHEIS G M WILSON J M	 	Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions
TVICOCIA O IM	-	
N. A. HOLSTONIV	0	That a Protection
R. A. RANDALL		1, and 10 , 10 and
E. C. MAST		Mail A. Ualatana
	 - 	Neil A Holsteen
The state of the s	 	Operable Units No 5 - 7 Closures
		Environmental Restoration Program Division
	 	NALI ab
		NAH cb
CORRES CONTROL X	_	Organd too IC Museehous
ADMIN RECORD X		Orig and 1 cc - K Muenchow
PROJECT FILE X		Attachment
CLASSIFICATION		As Stated
JCNI JCNI		AS Stated
INCLASSIFIED X		
CONFIDENTIAL		
SECRET	===	
AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIE SIGNATURE	=n	
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION	١	
REVIEW WAIVER PER		
CLASSIFICATION OFFICE		
IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO	0	> ORD
ACTION ITEM STATUS	S	कुर ४ म जन-
PARTIAL/OPEN	_	DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAYNER CONTINUE
NIA CLOSED]	REVIEW WAIVER PER
ETTER APPROVALS		CLASSIFICATION OFFICE
	c	
ORIGINATOR & TYPIST INITIALS	.	

A DUOL 000411

Attachment 1 NAH-019-95 Page 1 of 2

Operable Unit 6
Meeting Minutes for June 7, 1995

I Sediment Transport

The results indicate that under a 100 year, 2-hour precipitation storm event, shear stress is below the threshold necessary to cause scour of the pond sediments

Model calibration did compare results to literature such as that available from Iggy Litaor

Ponds will eventually fill up due to sediment transport from the surrounding surface soil

The question was raised as to the probability of dam failure under extreme hydrologic conditions EG&G is currently conducting studies that examine dam failure under an extreme, 100-year precipitation event

II Human Health Risk Assessment

HHRA calculations do not indicate any excess risk Only AOC's 3 and 4 presented any risk within the 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻⁶ risk range The exposure scenario which drove this was the Future Open Space Recreational User

The data from the 1994 PCB Sampling Project was separated for its risk evaluation because of the varying sampling depths. The 1994 data, when aggregated on a AOC level, does not present any excess risk. The B-series ponds do present a risk of 8E-06. When broken down to an IHSS level, pond B-2 presents the highest risk of 1E-05.

Groundwater was not considered in the risk assessment because there are no exposure routes to groundwater with any of the exposure scenarios used

When the Central Tendency is compared to the Reasonable Maximum Exposure (RME), it appears as though the RME overestimated risk

Groundwater evaluation will be captured in a comprehensive risk assessment

III Ecological Risk Assessment

The ERA screening results were presented to DOE and the regulatory agencies on May 31, 1995 On June 5, the results were reviewed by the EG&G Ecology SME, Frank Vertucci, Bonnie Lavelle of EPA, the subcontractor Mark Lewis, and professional judgement was applied by the EPA toxicologist Mark Wickstrom As a result of this meeting, ecological chemicals of concern were selected for both Woman and Walnut Creek Watersheds

The HHRA is concerned about individuals, whereas the ERA deals primary with populations, with the exception of the Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse

The ERA calculated the contribution of each source area to the risk of toxic exposure to each appropriate receptor. Preliminary results after professional judgment indicate that several polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in sediments and chromium in soils will require further analysis. One

of the main questions with respect to the risk from PAHs is whether there is a complete exposure pathway to an important receptor. If there is not a receptor, then there is no risk. The issue that needs to be resolved is the long-term pond use and water management strategy. This will be a risk-management decision.

IV Conclusions

A working group should be established to develop a common vision and make decisions on long-term pond water management. This may be made up of members from the Fish and Wildlife Service, CDPHE, the Department of Energy, and the Environmental Protection Agency. K. Muenchow (DOE, RFFO) and B. Lavelle (EPA) have volunteered to initiate this working group.

V Action Items

- The EPA and the DOE will establish a workshop/working group to decide the future pond use and pond water management
- 2 EG&G/DOE will provide sections of the RFI/RI Report to the regulatory agencies to ease the review process Efforts will be made to reduce the volume of each section of the report whenever possible
- 3 EG&G will provide a table showing percent distribution of risk by analytes for each area of concern
- 4 DOE Site Support Division will provide a phased plan for pond operations to the working group